## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	Fernando Lopez-Ceja	Case Nui	mber:	11-6004N	1		
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C ras represented by counsel. I conclu ne defendant pending trial in this cas	de by a preponderance o					
		FINDINGS OF FAC	т				
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:						
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.						
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.						
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deport or otherwise removed.						
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.						
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.						
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior crimina	al history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	cico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.						
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.						
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.						
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um of	у	ears impris	onment.		
The Cat the time of	Court incorporates by reference the method the hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the Pre noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF L		ervices Age	ncy which were	e reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of co	efendant will flee.	assure	the appear	ance of the def	fendant as required.	
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	efendant is committed to the custod acility separate, to the extent practic lefendant shall be afforded a reason States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pu	y of the Attorney Genera able, from persons awaiti able opportunity for privat or the Government, the pe	I or his, ng or se te cons erson ir in conr	/her designa erving sente ultation with n charge of the nection with	ences or being l defense coun the corrections	neld in custody pending sel. On order of a cour facility shall deliver the	
	ORDERED that should an appeal of of the motion for review/reconsidera	this detention order be fi	led with	n the Distric			
IT IS F Services suffices	FURTHER ORDERED that if a relea ciently in advance of the hearing be e potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be fore the District Court to	conside allow	lered, it is co Pretrial Ser	ounsel's respor vices an oppo	nsibility to notify Pretria rtunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of January	, 2011.					

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge